# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/919,777	07/31/2001	Christopher Uhlik	15685P098 4003	
45222 ARRAYCOM	7590 06/19/2007 M/BLAKELY		EXAMINER	
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			NGUYEN, THU HA T	
SUNNYVALE	YVALE, CA 94085-4040 ART UNIT PAPER		PAPER NUMBER	
			2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		09/919,777	UHLIK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thu Ha T. Nguyen	2155				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2007.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>32-40,42,43,45-47,49-51 and 59-63</u> is	alare pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-40,42,43,45-47,49-51 and 59-63</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
dec the attached detailed office action for a list of the defined dopies not received.							
Attachmen		A) []	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) to of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Literview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims **32-40**, **42-43**, **45-47**, **49-51**, **and 59-63** are presented for examination.

## **Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114**

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 19, 2007 has been entered.

## **Response to Arguments**

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed April 19, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:
- 4. Applicant argues that Verma and Mooney fail to teach the feature of a communication session identifier that follows the session and the subscriber unit as the subscriber unit moves from one basestation coverage area to another basestation coverage area.

In response to applicant's argument, the examiner asserts that Verma teaches the feature of assigning a session ID to each communication session corresponding to mobile node 20 and session identifier that uniquely identifies the session as the subscriber unit moves from one basestation coverage area to another basestation

Art Unit: 2155

coverage area (col. 4, lines 7-31, col. 5, lines 13-25, col. 6, lines 2-32, col. 8, lines 1-47

-using the call ID value for connection through any basestations/tunnel initiators).

However, Verma does not explicitly teach the feature of determining whether the received request is a request for a new session or a request to handoff an existing session from a second basestation; and generating, if the received request is a request for a new session, a communication session identifier that uniquely identifies the session and a communication session identifier that follows the session and the subscriber unit.

Mooney, in the related art, teaches:

determining whether the received request is a request for a new session or a request to handoff an existing session from a second basestation (paragraphs 0139-0141 –determining whether session ID exist or not in the request); and

generating, if the received request is a request for a new session and no communication session identifier is included in the request, a communication session identifier that uniquely identifies the session (figure 14, paragraphs 0139-0142 – establishing/creating new session ID) a communication session identifier that follows the session and the subscriber unit (paragraphs 0082, 0091-0098 -using unique session ID as a cookie to access one or more message servers i.e., CallPilot server 48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to corporate the feature of generating a unique session ID that can be used to accompany the subscriber unit's access through any of a plurality of basestations/servers, as disclosed by Mooney, into Verma's system because

it would provide an efficient communications system for accessing a plurality of messaging servers from single web-base interface by using the assignment of session ID and helping reduce network bandwidth requirements (see Mooney paragraphs 0001, 0008, 0016).

- 5. Therefore, the examiner asserts that cited prior art teaches or suggests the subject matter broadly recited in independent claims 132, 40, 49 and 59. Claims 33-39, 42-43, 45-47, 50-51, 60-63 are also rejected at least by virtue of their dependency on independent claims and by other reasons set forth in this office action below.
- 6. Applicants still have failed to identify specific claim limitations that would define a patentable distinction over cited prior arts. Accordingly, rejections for claims 32-40, 42-43, 45-47, 49-51, and 59-63 are rejected below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 32-33, 40, 43, 49-50 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Verma et al.** (hereinafter Verma) U.S. Patent No. **6,522,880**, in view of **Mooney et al.** (hereinafter Mooney) U.S. Publication No. **2002/0174194**.

Art Unit: 2155

9. As to claim 32, Verma teaches the invention as claimed, including a method comprising:

receiving a request to establish an end-to-end network communication session between a subscriber unit in a wireless communication system and a data network access server through a first basestation (col. 3, line 30-col. 4, line 31, col. 7, line 60-col. 8, line 8 –establishing connection communication between mobile node 20 and tunnel endpoint 250 via tunnel initiators 230, 240, figure 4);

Verma teaches the feature of assigning a session ID to each communication session corresponding to mobile node 20 and session identifier that uniquely identifies the session as the subscriber unit moves from one basestation coverage area to another basestation coverage area (col. 4, lines 7-31, col. 5, lines 13-25, col. 6, lines 2-32, col. 8, lines 1-47 –using the call ID value for connection through any basestations/tunnel initiators).

However, Verma does not explicitly teach the feature of determining whether the received request is a request for a new session or a request to handoff an existing session from a second basestation; and generating, if the received request is a request for a new session, a communication session identifier that uniquely identifies the session and a communication session identifier that follows the session and the subscriber unit.

Mooney, in the related art, teaches:

determining whether the received request is a request for a new session or a request to handoff an existing session from a second basestation (paragraphs 0139-0141 –determining whether session ID exist or not in the request); and

generating, if the received request is a request for a new session and no communication session identifier is included in the request, a communication session identifier that uniquely identifies the session (figure 14, paragraphs 0139-0142 — establishing/creating new session ID) and a communication session identifier that follows the session and the subscriber unit (paragraphs 0082, 0091-0098 -using unique session ID as a cookie to access one or more message servers i.e., CallPilot server 48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to corporate the feature of generating a unique session ID that can be used to accompany the subscriber unit's access through any of a plurality of basestations/servers, as disclosed by Mooney, into Verma's system because it would provide an efficient communications system for accessing a plurality of messaging servers from single web-base interface by using the assignment of session ID and helping reduce network bandwidth requirements (see Mooney paragraphs 0001, 0008, 0016).

10. As to claim 33, Verma teaches the invention as claimed, including a method claim 32, further comprising:

Art Unit: 2155

authenticating, if the request is a request to handoff an existing session, an existing communication session identifier received with the request (col. 2, line 55-col. 3, line 16, col. 9, lines 22-53).

11. As to claim 40, Verma teaches the invention as claimed, including an apparatus comprising:

a network interface to receive a request for an end-to-end network communication session between a wireless communication system subscriber unit and the apparatus through a first basestation (col. 3, line 30-col. 4, line 31, col. 7, line 60-col. 8, line 8 –establishing connection communication between mobile node 20 and tunnel endpoint 250 via tunnel initiators 230, 240, figure 4);

Verma teaches the feature of assigning a session ID to each communication session corresponding to mobile node 20 and session identifier as the subscriber unit moves from one basestation coverage area to another basestation coverage area (col. 4, lines 7-31, col. 5, lines 13-25, col. 6, lines 2-32, col. 8, lines 1-47 –using the call ID value for connection through any basestations/roaming to foreign agent).

However, Verma does not explicitly teach the feature of determining whether the request is a request for a new session or not and generating a session ID if no communication session ID is included in the request and a communication session identifier that follows the session and the subscriber unit.

Mooney, in the related art, teaches:

a communications agent to determine whether the received request is a request for a new session or a request to handoff an existing session from a second basestation (paragraphs 0139-0141 – determining whether the session ID exist or not in the request); and

a session identification generator, invoked by the communications agent if the received request is a request for a new session, to generate a communication session identifier that uniquely identifies the session (figure 14, paragraphs 0139-0142 – establishing/creating new session ID) and a communication session identifier that follows the session and the subscriber unit (paragraphs 0082, 0091-0098 -using unique session ID as a cookie to access one or more message servers i.e., CallPilot server 48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to corporate the feature of generating a unique session ID that can be used to accompany the subscriber unit's access through any of a plurality of basestations/servers, as disclosed by Mooney, into Verma's system because it would provide an efficient communications system for accessing a plurality of messaging servers from single web-base interface by using the assignment of session ID and helping reduce network bandwidth requirements (see Mooney paragraphs 0001, 0008, 0016).

12. As to claim 43, Verma teaches the invention as claimed, including the apparatus of claim 40, wherein the communications agent analyzes attribute value pair(s) (AVP) of a received incoming call request control command to identify a callType

AVP to determine whether an incoming call request indicates a new communication session or a handoff of an existing communication session (col. 5, lines 13-34, col. 9, lines 30-61).

13. As to claim 49, Verma teaches the invention as claimed, including an article of manufacture comprising: a machine accessible storage medium having stored therein a plurality of executable instructions which, when executed by an accessing computing device, cause an electronic system to:

receive a request to establish an end-to-end network communication session between a subscriber unit in a wireless communication system and a data network access server through a first basestation (col. 3, line 30-col. 4, line 31, col. 7, line 60-col. 8, line 8 –establishing connection communication between mobile node 20 and tunnel endpoint 250 via tunnel initiators 230, 240, figure 4);

Verma teaches the feature of assigning a session ID to each communication session corresponding to mobile node 20 and session identifier that uniquely identifies the session as the subscriber unit moves from one basestation coverage area to another basestation coverage area (col. 4, lines 7-31, col. 5, lines 13-25, col. 6, lines 2-32, col. 8, lines 1-47 –using the call ID value for connection through any basestations/roaming to foreign agent).

However, Verma does not explicitly teach the feature of determining whether the request includes a session ID or not and generating a new session ID if the session ID is not included in the request and a communication session identifier that uniquely

identifies the session and a communication session identifier that follows the session and the subscriber unit.

Mooney, in the related art, teaches:

determine whether the received request is a request for a new session or a request to handoff an existing session from a second basestation (paragraphs 0139-0141 –determining whether the session ID exist or not in the request); and

generate, if the received request is a request for a new session, a communication session identifier that uniquely identifies the session (figure 14, paragraphs 0139-0142 – establishing/creating new session ID) and a communication session identifier that follows the session and the subscriber unit (paragraphs 0082, 0091-0098 -using unique session ID as a cookie to access one or more message servers i.e., CallPilot server 48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to corporate the feature of generating a unique session ID that can be used to accompany the subscriber unit's access through any of a plurality of basestations/servers, as disclosed by Mooney, into Verma's system because it would provide an efficient communications system for accessing a plurality of messaging servers from single web-base interface by using the assignment of session ID and helping reduce network bandwidth requirements (see Mooney paragraphs 0001, 0008, 0016).

14. As to claim 50, Verma teaches the invention as claimed, including the article of manufacture of claim 49 further to authenticate, if the request is a request to

Art Unit: 2155

handoff an existing session, an existing and valid communication session identifier received with the request (col. 2, line 55-col. 3, line 16, col. 9, lines 22-53).

15. As to claim 59, Verma teach the invention as claimed, including a wirelss subscriber unit, comprising:

a requester to send a request to establish an end-to-end network communication session between the subscriber unit and a data network access server through a first basestation (col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 23);

a receiver coupled to the requester to receive a communication session identifier that uniquely identifies the end-to-end network communication session as the subscriber unit moves from one basestation coverage area to another basestation coverage area (col. 4, lines 8-31, col. 5, lines 13-25, col. 6, lines 2-32, col. 8, lines 1-47 —using the call ID value for connection through any basestations/tunnel initiators).

However, Verma does not explicitly teach a memory coupled to the receiver to store the communication session identifier and a communication session identifier that follows the session and the subscriber unit.

Mooney teaches a memory coupled to the receiver to store the communication session identifier and a communication session identifier that follows the session and the subscriber unit (paragraphs 0091-0098, 0140-0142, 0162).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to corporate the feature of store the communication session identifier at the subscriber unit, as disclosed by Mooney, into Verma's system Application/Control Number: 09/919,777 Page 12

Art Unit: 2155

because it would provide an efficient communications system for accessing a plurality of messaging servers from single web-base interface by using the assignment of session ID and helping reduce network bandwidth requirements (see Mooney paragraphs 0001, 0008, 0016).

- 16. Claims 34, 44 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Verma et al.** (hereinafter Verma) U.S. Patent No. **6,522,880**, and **Mooney et al.** (hereinafter Mooney) U.S. Publication No. **2002/0174194**, further in view of **Igarashi et al.** (hereinafter Igarashi) U.S. Publication No. **2001/0053694**.
- 17. As to claim 34, Verma teaches the invention as claimed, including a method claim 32, wherein determining comprises:

analyzing attribute-value pair(s) (AVP) of the received request to identify a callType AVP (col. 5, lines 13-34).

However, Verma and Mooney system does not explicitly teach the feature of identifying the received request as a request for a new communication session if the callType AVP is absent from the incoming call request, or if an identified callType AVP associated with the received request denotes a new call.

Igarashi, in the related art, teaches identifying the received request as a request for a new communication session if the callType AVP is absent from the incoming call request, or if an identified callType AVP associated with the received request denotes a new call (paragraphs 0104, 0193-0199, 0290-0292). It would have been obvious to one

Application/Control Number: 09/919,777 Page 13

Art Unit: 2155

of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Verma, Mooney and Igarashi to include the step of determining AVP of the received incoming call whether the request communication session is a new call or not because it would have an efficient communication system that allow to keep track, detect and authorize the call request.

18. As to claim 44, Verma and Mooney system does not explicitly teach the feature of wherein the communications agent invokes the session identification generator if the callType AVP denotes a new call, or if the callType AVP is not identified within the incoming call request control command.

Igarashi, in the related art, teaches wherein the communications agent invokes the session identification generator if the callType AVP denotes a new call, or if the callType AVP is not identified within the incoming call request control command (paragraphs 0104, 0193-0199, 0290-0292).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Verma, Mooney, and Igarashi to include the step of determining AVP of the received incoming call whether the request communication session is a new call or not because it would have an efficient communication system that allow to keep track, detect and authorize the call request.

19. As to claim 60, Verma and Mooney system does not explicitly teach wherein the request includes a callType AVP to denote a new call.

Igarashi, in the related art, teaches the request includes a callType AVP to denote a new call (paragraphs 0104, 0193-0199, 0290-0292). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Verma, Mooney and Igarashi to include the request includes a callType AVP to denote a new call because it would have an efficient communication system that allow to keep track, detect and authorize the call request.

- 20. Claims 35-39 and 61-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Verma**, **Mooney**, and **Maggenti et al.** (hereinafter Maggenti) U.S. Pub. No. **2003/0012149**, further in view of **Murphy**, **Jr. et al.** (hereinafter Murphy, Jr.) U.S. Patent No. **6,006,266**.
- 21. As to claim 35, Verma teaches the invention as claimed, including a method claim 32, wherein generating the communication session identifier comprises: composing a deterministic element of the communication session identifier (col. 2, line 55-col. 3, line 29, col. 5, lines 13-34, col. 9, lines 30-53).

However, Verma does not explicitly teach the feature of composing a random element of the communication session identifier and employing a mathematical function to generate the communication session identifier using the deterministic element and the random element.

Maggenti, in the related art, teaches composing a random element of the communication session identifier (paragraphs 0272-0273, 0454-0456).

Murphy, Jr., in the related art, teaches employing a mathematical function to generate the communication session identifier using the deterministic element and the random element (col. 9, lines 22-col. 10, lines 64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Verma, Mooney, Maggenti and Murphy, Jr. to include the step of composing a random element of the communication session identifier because it would provide secure communication system between subscriber unit and server.

- 22. As to claim 36, Verma teaches the invention as claimed, including a method claim 35, wherein the deterministic element is comprised of one or more of an electronic serial number (ESN) of the accessing subscriber unit, a media access control (MAC) address of the subscriber unit, and/or a telephone number associated with the subscriber unit (col. 2, line 55-col. 3, line 29, col. 5, lines 13-34, col. 9, lines 30-53).
- 23. As to claim 37, Verma-Mooney system does not explicitly teach wherein the random element is comprised of one or more of a pseudo-random number, and/or a true random number generated from radio frequency (RF) energy of thermal noise associated with the communication session.

However, Maggenti, in the related art, teaches wherein the random element is comprised of one or more of a pseudo-random number, and/or a true random number generated from radio frequency (RF) energy of thermal noise associated with the communication session (paragraphs 0272-0273, 0454-0456, 0461-0473).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Verma, Mooney, Maggenti and Murphy, Jr. to include the step of composing a random element of the communication session identifier because it would provide secure communication system between subscriber unit and server.

24. As to claim 38, Murphy, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, including a method claim 35, wherein the mathematical function employed concatenates the deterministic element and the random element to generate the communication session identifier (col. 9, lines 22-col. 10, lines 64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Verma, Mooney, Maggenti and Murphy, Jr. to employed concatenates the deterministic element and the random element to generate the communication session identifier because it would provide secure communication system between subscriber unit and server.

25. As to claim 39, Murphy, Jr. teaches the invention as claimed, including a method claim 35, wherein the mathematical function employed generates a hash of the

Art Unit: 2155

deterministic element and the random element to generate the communication session identifier (col. 9, lines 22-col. 10, lines 64, coll. 12, lines 58-col. 13, lines 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Verma, Mooney, Maggenti and Murphy, Jr. to include the step of composing a random element of the communication session identifier because it would provide secure communication system between subscriber unit and server.

As to claim 61, Verma does not explicitly teach wherein the communication session identifier received by the receiver includes a deterministic element and a random element.

Maggenti, in the related art, teaches composing a random element of the communication session identifier (paragraphs 0272-0273, 0454-0456).

Murphy, Jr., in the related art, teaches the communication session identifier received by the receiver includes a deterministic element and a random element (col. 9, lines 22-col. 10, lines 64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Verma, Mooney, Maggenti and Murphy, Jr. to include the communication session identifier received by the receiver includes a deterministic element and a random element because it would provide secure communication system between subscriber unit and server.

Application/Control Number: 09/919,777 Page 18

**Art Unit: 2155** 

26. As to claim 62, Verma teaches wherein the deterministic element is comprised of one or more of an electronic serial number (ESN) of the accessing subscriber unit, a media access control (MAC) address of the subscriber unit, and/or a telephone number associated with the subscriber unit (col. 2, line 55-col. 3, line 29, col. 5, lines 13-34, col. 9, lines 30-53).

27. As to claim 63, Verma-Mooney system does not explicitly teach wherein the random element is comprised of one or more of a pseudo-random number, and/or a true random number generated from radio frequency (RF) energy of thermal noise associated with the communication session.

However, Maggenti, in the related art, teaches wherein the random element is comprised of one or more of a pseudo-random number, and/or a true random number generated from radio frequency (RF) energy of thermal noise associated with the communication session (paragraphs 0272-0273, 0454-0456, 0461-0473).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Verma, Mooney, Maggenti and Murphy, Jr. to include the step of composing a random element of the communication session identifier because it would provide secure communication system between subscriber unit and server.

28. Claims 42, 45-47, and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Verma et al.** (hereinafter Verma) U.S. Patent No. **6,522,880**, and

Mooney et al. (hereinafter Mooney) U.S. Publication No. 2002/0174194, further in view of Maggenti et al. (hereinafter Maggenti) U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0012149.

29. As to claim 42, Verma teaches the invention as claimed, including the apparatus of claim 40, wherein the communication session identifier generated by the session identification generator comprises at least a deterministic element (col. 2, line 55-col. 3, line 29, col. 5, lines 13-34, col. 9, lines 30-53).

However, Verma, Mooney system does not explicitly teach the communication session identifier generated by the session identification generator comprises at least a random element.

Maggenti, in the related art, teaches the communication session identifier generated by the session identification generator comprises at least a random element (paragraphs 0272-0273, 0454-0456).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Verma, Mooney and Maggenti to include the step of composing a random element of the communication session identifier because it would provide a level of secure needed for group communication services over conventional wireless group communications system thus providing the ability to quickly and inexpensively implement group services in a wireless communication services (see Maggenti paragraphs 0016, 0455).

Application/Control Number: 09/919,777 Page 20

Art Unit: 2155

30. As to claim 45, Verma teaches the invention as claimed, including the apparatus of claim 42, wherein the session identification generator composes the deterministic element using one or more of an electronic serial number (ESN) of the accessing subscriber unit, a media access control (MAC) address of the subscriber unit, and/or a telephone number of the subscriber unit (col. 2, line 55-col. 3, line 29, col. 5, lines 13-34, col. 9, lines 30-53).

31. As to claim 46, Verma and Mooney system does not explicitly teach wherein the session identification generator composes the random element of the session identifier utilizing a pseudo-random number generator.

Maggenti teaches wherein the session identification generator composes the random element of the session identifier utilizing a pseudo-random number generator (paragraphs 0272-0273, 0454-0456, 0461-0473).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Verma, Mooney and Maggenti to include the step of composing a random element of the communication session identifier because it would provide secure communication system between subscriber unit and server.

32. As to claim 47, Verma and Mooney system does not explicitly teach wherein the session identification generator composes the random element of the

session identifier by generating a true random number from radio frequency (RF) thermal noise.

Maggenti teaches wherein the session identification generator composes the random element of the session identifier by generating a true random number from radio frequency (RF) thermal noise (paragraphs 0272-0273, 0454-0456, 0461-0473).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Verma, Mooney and Maggenti to include the step of composing a random element of the communication session identifier because it would provide secure communication system between subscriber unit and server.

33. As to claim 51, Verma teaches the invention as claimed, including the article of manufacture of claim 49, wherein the communication session identifier comprises a deterministic element (col. 2, line 55-col. 3, line 29, col. 5, lines 13-34, col. 9, lines 30-53).

However, Verma, Mooney system does not explicitly teach wherein the communication session identifier comprises a random element.

Maggenti, in the related art, teaches wherein the communication session identifier comprises a random element (paragraphs 0272-0273, 0454-0456).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Verma, Mooney and Maggenti to include the step of composing a random element of the communication

session identifier because it would provide a level of secure needed for group communication services over conventional wireless group communications system thus providing the ability to quickly and inexpensively implement group services in a wireless communication services (see Maggenti paragraphs 0016, 0455).

### Conclusion

- 34. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 35. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Ha Nguyen, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3989. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Najjar Saleh, can be reached at (571) 272-4006.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2155

Page 23

For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

THU HA NGUYEN

June 11, 2007